# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	From the	INTERN	ATIONAL BUR	EAU	
PCT	To:				
NOTIFICATION OF THE RECORDING OF A CHANGE  (PCT Rule 92bis.1 and Administrative Instructions, Section 422)	SIKKEN, Antonius, H., J., M. Unilever N.V. Patent Department Olivier van Noortlaan 120 NL-3133 AT Vlaardingen PAYS-BAS				
Date of mailing (day/month/year) 23 February 2000 (23.02.00)					
Applicant's or agent's file reference F 7480 (V)			RTANT NOTIF		
International application No. PCT/EP99/09571	Internation 06 D	nal filing da ecember 	ite (day/month/yea 1999 (06.12.99	9)	
The following indications appeared on record concerning:    The following indications appeared on record concerning:   The following indications appeared on	the ager	nt .	the commo	n representative	
X the applicant X the inventor  Name and Address	J 	State of	Nationality	State of Residence	
Name and Addition		Telepho			
		Facsimi	le No.		
		Teleprin	nter No.		
2. The International Bureau hereby notifies the applicant that	t the following	g change h	nas been recorded	concerning:	
2. The international bullead history with the name the s	address		nationality  of Nationality	State of Residence	
Name and Address		NI		NL	
OVERBEEKE, Nico Unilever Research Vlaardingen Olivier van Noortland 120		Teleph	none No.		
NL-3133 AT Vlaardingen Netherlands		Facsir	nile No.		
		Telep	rinter No.		
3. Further observations, if necessary: Additional applicant/inventor for US only.					
4. A copy of this notification has been sent to:		X ti	ne designated Offi	ces concerned	
X the receiving Office X the International Searching Authority		<u> </u>	he elected Offices		
the International Preliminary Examining Authority					
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		rized office	J. Leitao		
Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35	Telep	hone No.:	(41-22) 338.83.38	00312468	

## **CATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

From the	INTERNA'	TIONAL	BUREAU
----------	----------	--------	--------

PCT	To:						
NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION  (PCT Rule 61.2)  Date of mailing: 06 July 2000 (06.07.00)  International application No.: PCT/EP99/09571  International filing date:	Assistant Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office Box PCT Washington, D.C.20231 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE in its capacity as elected Office  Applicant's or agent's file reference: F 7480 (V)						
06 December 1999 (06.12.99) Applicant:	23 December 1998 (23.12.98)						
VAN BUUREN, Jan et al							
1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:    X   in the demand filed with the International preliminary Examining Authority on:   13 April 2000 (13.04.00)   in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:   2. The election   X   was   was not   was not   was not   was not   made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under							
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35	Authorized officer:  J. Zahra Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38						

pari

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

14

PCT

RED'D 11 APR 2001

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORTET

# (PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

F 7480 (\	or agent's file re /)	FOR FURTHE		Notification of Transmittal of International minary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
<u> </u>	application No	International filing of	late (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/EP9		06/12/1999	(	23/12/1998				
Internationa A23L1/30		ication (IPC) or national classification ar	nd IPC					
Applicant UNILEVE	R N.V. et al							
1. This in and is	nternational p transmitted t	reliminary examination report has to the applicant according to Article	peen prepared by th 36.	is International Preliminary Examining Authority				
2. This F	REPORT cons	sists of a total of 7 sheets, includin	g this cover sheet.					
b	en amendec	lso accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e I and are the basis for this report ar 6 and Section 607 of the Administr	nd/or sheets contain	cription, claims and/or drawings which have ning rectifications made before this Authority nder the PCT).				
These	annexes cor	nsist of a total of 2 sheets.						
3. This r	eport contains	s indications relating to the followin	g items:					
. 1	⊠ Basis o	of the report						
. 11	☑ Priority	•						
111	□ Non-es	tablishment of opinion with regard	opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV		funity of invention						
<b>V</b> .	⊠ Reason citation	ned statement under Article 35(2) v is and explanations suporting such	vith regard to novelt statement	y, inventive step or industrial applicability;				
VI	☐ Certair	documents cited						
VII	☑ Certair	defects in the international applica	ation					
VIII	⊠ Certair	observations on the international	application					
Date of sub	mission of the o	Jemand	Date of comple	etion of this report				
13/04/20	00		09.04.2001					

Smeets, D

Telephone No. +49 89 2399 7492

Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

D-80298 Munich

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP99/09571

1.	the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):  Description, pages:									
	1-10	6	as originally fi	led						
	Cla	ims, No.:								
	1-1	1	as received o	n	08/01/2001	with letter of	04/01/2001			
	Dra	wings, sheets:								
	1/1		as originally fi	led						
2.		n regard to the <b>lang</b> guage in which the					shed to this Authority in to under this item.	he		
	These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:									
		☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).								
		the language of pu	ublication of the	e international	application (und	er Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).								
3.		n regard to any <b>nuc</b> rnational prelimina					ational application, the isting:			
		contained in the in	iternational app	olication in writt	ten form.					
		☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.								
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.									
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.								
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.								
		□ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.								
4.	The	amendments have	e resulted in the	e cancellation o	of:					
	Ġ	the description,	pages:							
	$\boxtimes$	the claims,	Nos.:	12						

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP99/09571

C

considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):  (Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed report.)  6. Additional observations, if necessary:  1. Priority  1. This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within prescribed time limit the requested:    copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.    translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.  2. This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority cla been found invalid.													
considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):  (Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed report.)  6. Additional observations, if necessary:    Priority			the drawings,	sheets:									
Priority   This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within prescribed time limit the requested:    copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.   translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.   translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.   This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority clabeen found invalid.   Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the redate.   Additional observations, if necessary:   Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and explanations supporting such statement   Novelty (N)	5.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):							ive beer			
II. Priority  1. This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within prescribed time limit the requested:    copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.   translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.  2. This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim been found invalid.  Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the redate.  3. Additional observations, if necessary:  V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and explanations supporting such statement  1. Statement  Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-9 No: Claims 10, 11 Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-11 Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-11			(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this								d to this		
1. This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within prescribed time limit the requested:    copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.    translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.  2. This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority clabeen found invalid.  Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the redate.  3. Additional observations, if necessary:  V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applical citations and explanations supporting such statement  Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-9 No: Claims 10, 11  Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-11  Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-11	6.	Add	litional observations, if	itional observations, if necessary:									
prescribed time limit the requested:    copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.   translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.  2.  This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority clabeen found invalid.  Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the redate.  3. Additional observations, if necessary:  V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applical citations and explanations supporting such statement  1. Statement  Novelty (N)	11.	Pric	ority										
translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.  This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority clabeen found invalid.  Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the redate.  Additional observations, if necessary:  V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applical citations and explanations supporting such statement  Statement  Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 1-9  No: Claims 10, 11  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims  No: Claims 1-11  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-11	1.					priority h	nad been o	claimed	due to th	e failure	to furn	ish withii	n the
<ol> <li>This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority clabeen found invalid.  Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the redate.</li> <li>Additional observations, if necessary:</li> <li>Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applical citations and explanations supporting such statement</li> <li>Statement</li> <li>Novelty (N)         <ul> <li>Yes: Claims 1-9</li> <li>No: Claims 10, 11</li> </ul> </li> <li>Inventive step (IS)         <ul> <li>Yes: Claims</li> <li>No: Claims 1-11</li> <li>Industrial applicability (IA)</li> <li>Yes: Claims 1-11</li> </ul> </li> </ol>			☐ copy of the earlie	r applicat	ion whose	priority	has been	claimed	l.				
been found invalid.  Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the redate.  3. Additional observations, if necessary:  V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applical citations and explanations supporting such statement  1. Statement  Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 1-9 No: Claims 10, 11  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-11  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-11			☐ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.										
date.  3. Additional observations, if necessary:  V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applical citations and explanations supporting such statement  1. Statement  Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 1-9  No: Claims 10, 11  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims  No: Claims 1-11  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-11	2.	×	·										
V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applications and explanations supporting such statement  1. Statement  Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 1-9  No: Claims 10, 11  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims  No: Claims  No: Claims 1-11  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-11			hus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant ate.										
citations and explanations supporting such statement  1. Statement  Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 1-9 No: Claims 10, 11  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-11  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-11	3.	Add	dditional observations, if necessary:										
citations and explanations supporting such statement  1. Statement  Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 1-9 No: Claims 10, 11  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-11  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-11													
Novelty (N)  Yes: Claims 1-9 No: Claims 10, 11  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-11  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-11	٧.							elty, inv	entive st	ep or in	dustria	ıl applica	ability;
No: Claims 10, 11  Inventive step (IS)  Yes: Claims No: Claims 1-11  Industrial applicability (IA)  Yes: Claims 1-11	1.	Stat	ement										
No: Claims 1-11 Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-11		Nov	relty (N)										
made and appropriate and appro		Inve	entive step (IS)			1-11							
		Indu	ustrial applicability (IA)			1-11							

2. Citations and explanations

### s e separate sheet

#### VII. Certain defects in the international applicati n

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: see separat sheet

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP99/09571

## VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made: see separate sheet

#### **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

### Re Item II **Priority**

The present application was filed on 06.12.1999. The filing date of the priority document is 23.12.1998.

The priority of the present application was found invalid. The following features, disclosed in claims 1-11, are not disclosed in the priority document.

- the range 0.05 0.5 wt.% of solid matter derived from olive fruit
- at least 18 ppm of the olive phenolics is associated with the olive particles
- at least 180 ppm of olive phenolics
- a bitterness score of less than 3 and less than 5
- a phenolics content of at least 300 ppm
- the solids which settle at the bottom of the tanks in which the oil resulting from olives pressing is stored
- particles of the cake which remains after pressing the liquid phase from olives

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: WO 99 52377 A (UNILEVER PLC ;UNILEVER NV (NL)) 21 October 1999 (1999-10-21)
  - D2: FR-A-2 337 509 (CHAZALET HENRI) 5 August 1977 (1977-08-05)
  - D3: WO 97 32947 A (COMITER TRADING & SERVICES S R ;BALDI ALESSANDRO (IT); ROMANI ANNA) 12 September 1997 (1997-09-12)

### 1) Novelty - Art. 33(1) and (2) PCT

Since the priority of the present application was found invalid, D1 is considered to be state of the art.

The subject-matter of claims 10 and 11 (independent product claims) lacks novelty in view of D1.

#### **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

This document discloses food products comprising a vegetable oil, having an increased concentration of phenolic compounds.

In addition, any food product, having a relative high concentration of phenolic compounds, anticipates the subject-matter of claims 10 and 11.

The subject-matter of claims 1 and 4 is considered to be novel since none of the available prior art cited in the international search report discloses the specific combination of features as claimed. Therefore, independent claims 1 and 4 meet the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

Claims 2 and 3 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty.

Claims 5-9 are dependent on claim 4 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty.

### 2) Inventive Step - Art. 33(1) and (3) PCT

The problem to be solved by the present invention is an alternative way to fortify food products with olive food ingredients, particularly with olive polyphenols.

The present invention provides a solution by incorporating 0.05 - 0.5 wt.% of solid matter derived from olive fruit, with a particle size of 0.1 µm - 5 mm, into food products.

D1 is being regarded as closest prior art.

This document (page 4, lines 19-27, claims 1-10) teaches the crushing of nondebittered olives to enhance the transport of polyphenols from the olive into the oil. D1 (page 4, lines 30 - page 5, line 8; claims 1 and 5) also discloses a method for the fortification of a vegetable oil by soaking crushed olive fruits in a vegetable oil and then removing these crushed olive fruits from the oil. This fortified oil is used for the preparation of spreads, salad dressings, mayonnaise and sauces. It is disclosed that treatments which cause the disintegration of the olive fruits, so that particles are formed, are excluded (page 4, lines 19-20).

However, the use of olive fruit particles in a concentration of 0.05 - 0.5 wt. % is not considered to involve an inventive step. The crushing of olives (as disclosed in D1) implies a disintegration of the olive fruit. The selection of particles with the features of claim 1 and 4 of the present application does not provide any

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY Inter EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

additional technical effects, in comparison with the vegetable oil comprising crushed olives and the method of producing a related food product, disclosed in D1.

Therefore, the subject-matter of product claims 1-3, method claims 4-9 and product-by-process claims 10 and 11 is not considered to involve an inventive step.

D3 teaches the skilled man that olive oil is the only plant oil which naturally contains appreciable amounts of polyphenol substances for consumption (page 2, lines 32-35). The positive effects of the intake of polyphenols in human nutrition are also disclosed (page 3, line 36 - page 4, line 4; page 4, line 16 - page 5, line 28). Products based on olive oil, enriched with polyphenols are also disclosed (claims 2 and 20).

D2 (page 2, lines 25-30) discloses olive based spreads comprising a mixture of crushed olive pulp, crushed olive epidermis and vegetable oil.

#### Re Item VII

### Certain defects in the international application

Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1-D3 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

#### Re Item VIII

### Certain observations on the international application

- 1. Claims 2 and 3 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT in that the matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. The feature "a bitterness score of less than 3" is not clear since this parameter does not enable the skilled person to determine the matter for which protection is sought. A composition comprising polyphenols cannot unambiguously be compared with standard solutions of oleuropein to assess "bitterness".
- 2. Claim 8 is incorrectly drafted as a claim dependent on claim 8 (Art. 6 PCT).

DSBEDSH. DSE4D1



F7480 cpl (V)

F 7480 (V)



**EPO - DG 1** 

08.01.2001



#### CLAIMS

- 1. Vegetable oil which contains at least 180 ppm of olive phenolics, characterized in that the oil comprises a dispersion of 0.05 0.5 wt.% of solid matter derived of olive fruit with a particle size of 0.1  $\mu$ m 5 mm and in that at least 18 ppm of the olive phenolics is associated with said particles.
- Vegetable oil according to claim 1, characterized in that the amount of olive derived solid matter is chosen such that a bitterness score of less than 3 results, where bitterness is assessed by comparison with standard oleuropein solutions using the graph of figure 1.
- 3. Vegetable oil according to claim 1, characterized in that the amount of olive derived solid matter is chosen such that a phenolics content of at least 300 ppm and a bitterness score of less than 5 results, where bitterness is assessed by comparison with standard oleuropein solutions using the graph of figure 1.
- Method of fortifying a food product with phenolic compounds by incorporating in the food product solid matter derived from olives which have not been subjected to a debittering treatment, which solid matter has a particle size of 0.1 μm 5 mm, characterized in that the olives derived solid matter is added to the food product in an amount of 0.05 0.5 wt.%, preferably 0.1 0.3 wt.%.
- 5. Method according to claim 4, whereby the solid matter is chosen from the group consisting of 1. particles of unprocessed olives, 2. the solids which settle at the bottom of tanks in which the oil resulting from olives pressing is stored and 3. particles of the cake which remains after pressing the liquid phase from olives.
- 6. 9m

Method according to claims 4 or 5, whereby the obtained food product contains at least 10 ppm of olive derived phenolic

#### Amended 7 December 2000





compounds.

- 7. Method according to any one of claims 4 6, whereby the food product is a vegetable oil, preferably an olive oil.
- 8. Method according to claim 8, whereby the olive solid matter is allowed to soak in the oil for at least one minute and then is separated from the oil.
- 9. Method according to any one of claims 4 6, whereby the food product is chosen from the group consisting of a spread, a salad dressing, mayonnaise or a sauce.
- 10. Food product obtainable by the method according to any one of claims 4 9.
- 11. Food product prepared with the oil obtainable by the method according to claims 7 or 8.